

THE ELZAKI TRANSFORM METHOD FOR ADDRESSING CAUCHY PROBLEMS IN HIGHER ORDER NONLINEAR PDES

Tarig M. ELZAKI*[®], Mohamed Ali LATRACH**[®]

*Department of Mathematics, University of Jeddah, College of Science and Arts El Kamel, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia **University of Tunis El Manar, National Engineering School at Tunis, Lamsin, B.P. 37, 1002, Tunis-Belvédère, Tunisia

tarig.alzaki@gmail.com, mohamedali.latrach@enit.utm.tn

received 7 December 2024, revised 1 February 2025, accepted 3 March 2025

Abstract: In this work, the new integral transform called the Elzaki transform (ET) is used to investigate and solve nonlinear higher-order partial differential equations (NHOPDEs), which serve as mathematical models in a range of practically significant disciplines of applied research. The NHOPDE solutions converge to exact solutions rather easily, were derived in a simple and easy-to-understand manner using ET. In addition, examples are given to illustrate how this method can be applied and how valid it is for the problem-solving form. There is a strong correlation between the analytical and exact solutions for the tested problems. This paper also covers the convergence of the ET technique to the exact solution of NHOPDEs. Numerical problems involving fourth and sixth order nonlinear hyperbolic equations and nonlinear wave-like equations with variable coefficients are solved to illustrate how the ET technique may efficiently yield accurate solutions for nonlinear PDEs of higher order with initial conditions. The results demonstrate the remarkable accuracy, efficiency, and dependability of the ET technique, which can be applied to a broad variety of nonlinear higher-order PDEs. This method greatly simplifies numerical calculations. The two primary goals of using this approach are to establish a fair frequency relationship and select an appropriate starting estimate. The precise, analytical, and numerical solutions to the examined problems show a high association with one another, further validating the robustness of this approach. Its unique properties, including its ability to simplify convolution operations and its close connection to the Laplace transform, also contribute to its effectiveness.

Key words: cauchy problem, nonlinear higher order partial differential equations, Elzaki transform, hyperbolic equation, wave-like equation, convergence analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

Numerous application disciplines, such as information theory, research, and engineering, depend heavily on NHOPDEs. This is especially important for applied sciences and entropy. Moreover, they have been used for a long time to explain a variety of natural phenomena, such as temperature fluctuations, growth of populations, earthquakes, and atomic structure. In literatures, there are numerous applications of the integral transform in mathematics. Integro-differential equations, integral equations, and linear DEs can all be solved with ET. This method is not appropriate for solving nonlinear DEs due to the nonlinear variables. Nonlinear DEs can be solved using ET support for the homotopy perturbation approach, differential transform method, and any other methods.

These days, nonlinear equations are very important. Applications of nonlinear phenomena are significant in engineering, physics, and applied math. Finding new exact or approximate solutions to nonlinear PDEs requires creative thinking, which is challenging even in fields like applied math and physics, where precise solutions are crucial. Many writers have focused on applying various methods to the investigation of solutions to nonlinear PDEs in the last few years. Numerous methods have been proposed, such as the homotopy perturbation, differential transform, Elzaki transform, Laplace, and double Laplace transforms, variational iteration, Adomian decomposition method and Laplace variational iteration [1–17].

Over the past few years, numerous researchers have devoted considerable effort to exploring various methods for solving nonlinear PDEs. Techniques such as the homotopy perturbation method (HPM), differential transform method (DTM), Elzaki transform, Laplace and double Laplace transforms, and the variational iteration method (VIM) have been widely employed to address these challenges. For instance, Abdulazeez et al. in [18] utilized the homotopy analysis method (HAM) and VIM to solve nonlinear pseudo-hyperbolic equations, demonstrating that HAM provides results that are more accurate and closely aligned with exact solutions compared to VIM. Similarly, the residual power series method (RPSM), as proposed by Abdulazeez et al. [19], has shown the ability to solve nonlinear pseudo-hyperbolic PDEs with non-local conditions, while providing fast convergence and accurate results. The explicit finite difference method (EFDM) was applied by Abdulazeez et al. [20] to solve fractional-order pseudo-hyperbolic telegraph PDEs using Caputo derivatives, while the Crank-Nicholson difference scheme has been successfully utilized for mobile-immobile advection-dispersion models [21]. Furthermore, Abdulla et al. [22] extended this approach by comparing the solutions of third-order fractional PDEs using Caputo and Atangana-Baleanu Caputo (ABC) fractional derivatives.

To overcome and relax the inherent difficulties of nonlinear problems, hybrid methods that combine two or more techniques have been increasingly explored. For example, Ahsan et al. in [23] present a hybrid scheme of finite-difference and Haar wavelet distribution for the ill-posed nonlinear inverse Cauchy problem. Tarig M. Elzaki, Mohamed Ali Latrach <u>The Elzaki Transform Method for Addressing Cauchy Problems in Higher Order Nonlinear Pdes</u>

Advanced computational techniques have also found applications in specialized areas such as signal processing and electromagnetic wave modeling. For instance, Prewitt operators combined with fractional-order telegraph PDEs have been proposed by Tenekeci et al. [24] for edge detection, demonstrating the potential of fractional operators in enhancing image processing techniques. Similarly, Modanli et al. [25] introduced a computational method based on integral transforms for solving time-fractional equations arising in electromagnetic waves, highlighting the importance of fractional calculus in addressing wave propagation problems.

The new technique, which is based on a novel integral transform (ET), will be introduced and used in an accessible manner in this study [6]. We also explore the potential applications of this new transform side by side with the recently developed approach to solving NHOPDEs in this work. This method works well with standard impulse functions and functions along with discontinuities.

This document is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a new integral transform called the Elzaki transform (ET). Section 3 presents a convergence study and analytical methodology for solving NHOPDEs. Section 4 presents a several numerical example. Discussion and conclusion brought under Section 5 to a close

2. ELZAKI TRANSFORM

sciendo

Integral equations, systems of PDEs, ODEs, and PDEs may all be solved with the ET, as demonstrated by Tarig M. Elzaki in [2–5, 29 - 33]. Effective application of ET is possible when Sumudu and Laplace transforms are unable to solve DEs with variable coefficients [11]. In engineering and applied mathematics, ET is a potent instrument.

The primary ideas behind this modification in presentation are as follows, ET of $B(\varepsilon)$ is :

$$E[B(\varepsilon)] = \xi \int_0^{+\infty} B(\varepsilon) e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi}} d\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$
(1)
Definition 1 Let $T'(\xi)$ be the ET of the derivative of $B(\varepsilon)$,

then:

(a)
$$T'(\xi) = \frac{T(\xi)}{\xi} - \xi B(0),$$

(b) $T^{(n)}(\xi) = \frac{T(\xi)}{\xi^n} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi^{2-n+k} B^{(k)}(0), \qquad n \ge 1,$

where $T^{(n)}(\xi)$ is ET of the n^{th} derivative of $B(\varepsilon)$.

The following helpful ETs have been established in this study: Let $E[B(\varepsilon)] = T(\xi)$ and $E[a(\varepsilon)] = A(\xi)$, then:

1.
$$E[B(\varepsilon) \pm a(\varepsilon)] = E[B(\varepsilon)] \pm E[a(\varepsilon)] = T(\xi) \pm A(\xi),$$

2. $E[\varepsilon^n] = \xi^{\alpha+2}\Gamma(\alpha+1), \qquad \alpha > -1,$

3.
$$E[B^{(n)}(\varepsilon)] = \frac{T(\xi)}{\xi^n} - \frac{B(0)}{\xi^{n-2}} - \frac{B'(0)}{\xi^{n-3}} - \dots - \xi B^{n-1}(0).$$

Let $E[B(\varepsilon, \zeta)] = T(\varepsilon, \xi)$ then the ET of partial derivatives of $B(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ are,

$$E\left[\frac{\partial B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial\zeta}\right] = \frac{1}{\xi}T(\varepsilon,\xi) - \xi B(\varepsilon,0),$$

$$E\left[\frac{\partial^2 B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial\zeta^2}\right] = \frac{1}{\xi^2}T(\varepsilon,\xi) - B(\varepsilon,0) - \xi\frac{\partial B(\varepsilon,0)}{\partial\zeta},$$

$$E\left[\frac{\partial B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial\varepsilon}\right] = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}[T(\varepsilon,\xi)], \quad E\left[\frac{\partial^2 B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial\varepsilon^2}\right] = \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2}[T(\varepsilon,\xi)],$$

$$E\left[\frac{\partial^{n}B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial\zeta^{n}}\right] = \frac{1}{\xi^{n}}T(\varepsilon,\xi) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\xi^{2-n+k}B^{(k)}(\varepsilon,0), n \ge 1.$$

3. ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED SCHEME

We use the following initial conditions and NHOPDEs Cauchy problem to demonstrate the basic idea in this method:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\zeta^2} - a\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varepsilon^2}\right)^k B(\varepsilon,\zeta) = NB(\varepsilon,\zeta) + g(\varepsilon,\zeta), \quad k \ge 1, \quad (2)$$
$$\frac{\partial^i}{\partial\varepsilon^i}B(\varepsilon,0) = g(\varepsilon), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2k - 1.$$

Where, $B(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ is the unknown function, $NB(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ nonlinear operator, $g(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ is the in-homogeneous or source term and $a = a(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ may be a constant or function of ε or / and ζ .

When k > 1, Eq. (2) turns into a nonlinear hyperbolic equation of greater order [26], while for k = 1, an equation (2) was reduced to a wave shape [27, 28].

Equation (2) can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial^{2k}B}{\partial\zeta^{2k}} + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-a)^{k-r} {k \choose r} \frac{\partial^{2k}B}{\partial\zeta^{2r}\partial\varepsilon^{2k-2r}} = NB(\varepsilon,\zeta) + g(\varepsilon,\zeta),$$

$$0 \le r \le k, \text{ and } {k \choose r} = \frac{k!}{r! (r-k)!}.$$

or

$$\frac{\partial^{2k}B}{\partial\zeta^{2k}} = NB(\varepsilon,\zeta) + g(\varepsilon,\zeta) - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-a)^{k-r} {k \choose r} \frac{\partial^{2k}B}{\partial\zeta^{2r}\partial\varepsilon^{2k-2r}}.$$
 (3)

Using ET to obtain:

$$E\left[\frac{\partial^{2k}B}{\partial\zeta^{2k}}\right] = E\left[NB(\varepsilon,\zeta) + g(\varepsilon,\zeta) - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-a)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} \frac{\partial^{2k}B}{\partial\zeta^{2r}\partial\varepsilon^{2k-2r}}\right]$$
$$\frac{1}{\xi^{2k}}E[B] - \sum_{r=0}^{2k-1} \frac{\partial^{r}B(\varepsilon,0)}{\partial\zeta^{r}} \xi^{2-2k+r}$$
$$E\left[NB(\varepsilon,\zeta) + \frac{\partial^{2k}B}{\partial\zeta^{r}}\right]$$

$$= E \left[NB(\varepsilon,\zeta) + g(\varepsilon,\zeta) - \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-a)^{k-r} {r \choose r} \frac{\partial^{2k} e^{2k-2r}}{\partial \zeta^{2r} \partial \varepsilon^{2k-2r}} \right],$$

$$\Rightarrow E[B] = \sum_{r=0}^{2k-1} \frac{\partial^{r} B(\varepsilon,0)}{\partial \zeta^{r}} \xi^{2+r}$$

$$+ \xi^{2k} E \left[NB(\varepsilon,\zeta) + g(\varepsilon,\zeta) - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-a)^{k-r} {k \choose r} \frac{\partial^{2k} B}{\partial \zeta^{2r} \partial \varepsilon^{2k-2r}} \right].$$

Applying Elzaki inverse to get:

$$B(\varepsilon,\zeta) = G(\varepsilon,\zeta) + E^{-1} \left\{ \xi^{2k} E\left[NB(\varepsilon,\zeta) - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-a)^{k-r} {k \choose r} \frac{\partial^{2k} B}{\partial \zeta^{2r} \partial \varepsilon^{2k-2r}} \right] \right\}.$$

Where $G(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ denotes the term that arises from all or some of the function $g(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ and the stipulated initial conditions.

This method's efficacy hinges on how we choose the initial iteration $B_0(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ that yields the most precise result in the fewest stages. To get a solution iteratively, we use the following relations:

$$B_{n+1}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1} \left\{ \xi^{2k} E \left[NB_n - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-a)^{k-r} {k \choose r} \frac{\partial^{2k} B_n}{\partial \zeta^{2r} \partial \varepsilon^{2k-2r}} \right] \right\},$$

$$B_0(\varepsilon,\zeta) = G(\varepsilon,\zeta). \tag{4}$$



DOI 10.2478/ama-2025-0028

It looks that the following is the series form for the solution to Eq. (2):

$$B(\varepsilon,\zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(\varepsilon,\zeta).$$
(5)

According to System. (4), we are able to determine the following $B_0(\varepsilon, \zeta)$, $B_1(\varepsilon, \zeta)$, $B_2(\varepsilon, \zeta)$, \cdots , Eq. (5) can then be used to find the solution.

3.1. Convergence analysis

The convergence of the ET approach to the exact solution for NHOPDEs is covered in this section.

Theorem 1. If *B* is a Banach space, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ in Eq. (5) is convergence, if $\exists (0 \le \beta < 1)$, s.t. $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow ||B_{\tau}|| \le \beta ||B_{\tau-1}||$, to $\eta \in B$.

Proof. Partially sum sequence is described as follows: $\{\eta_{\tau}\}_{\tau=0}^{\infty}$,

$$\begin{split} \eta_0 &= B_0 \\ \eta_1 &= B_0 + B_1 \\ \eta_2 &= B_0 + B_1 + B_2 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_\tau &= B_0 + B_1 + \dots + B_\tau \end{split}$$

It is now necessary to demonstrate that: $\{\eta_{\tau}\}_{\tau=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy series in Banach space,

$$\begin{split} \|\eta_{\tau+1} - \eta_{\tau}\| &= \|\sum_{n=0}^{\tau+1} B_n - \sum_{n=0}^{\tau} B_n\| = \|B_{\tau+1}\| \le \beta \|B_{\tau}\| \le \\ \cdots \le \beta^{\tau+1} \|B_0\|. \\ \text{For all } (\tau, \lambda) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \text{ as } \tau \ge \lambda \\ \|\eta_{\tau} - \eta_{\lambda}\| &= \|(\eta_{\tau} - \eta_{\tau-1}) + (\eta_{\tau-1} - \eta_{\tau-2}) + \cdots + (\eta_{\lambda+1} - \eta_{\lambda})\| \end{split}$$

$$\leq \|\eta_{\tau} - \eta_{\tau-1}\| + \|\eta_{\tau-1} - \eta_{\tau-2}\| + \dots + \|\eta_{\lambda+1} - \eta_{\lambda}\| \\ \leq \beta^{\tau} \|B_0\| + \beta^{\tau-1} \|B_0\| + \dots + \beta^{\lambda+1} \|B_0\| \\ \leq \beta^{\lambda+1} \|B_0\| (\beta^{\tau-\lambda-1} + \beta^{\tau-\lambda-2} + \dots + \beta) \\ = \frac{1 - \beta^{\tau-\lambda}}{1 - \beta} \beta^{\lambda+1} \|B_0\|.$$

Since $(\beta^{\tau-\lambda-1} + \beta^{\tau-\lambda-2} + \dots + \beta)$ is a geometric series and $0 \le \beta < 1$ then, $\lim_{\tau,\lambda\to+\infty} (\eta_{\tau} - \eta_{\lambda}) = 0$ then $\{\eta_{\tau}\}_{\tau=0}^{\infty}$ is the Cauchy sequence in Banach space *B* then $B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ defined in Eq. (5) converges.

4. NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS

This section applies the suggested method to the solution of three numerical examples of nonlinear higher-order hyperbolic equations and two nonlinear wave-like equations with variable coefficients.

4.1. Nonlinear Higher Order Hyperbolic Equations

Numerous physical phenomena, such as vibrating strings and membranes, the motion of an inviscid compressible flow, and the motion of a compressible fluid like air, are all explained by nonlinear hyperbolic PDEs. Numerous disciplines have utilized these formulas, such as electromagnetic theory, astrophysics, hypoelastic solids, and heat wave propagation. Example 1.

Let,
$$k = 2$$
, $a = 1$, $NB = B - \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta}$ and $g(\varepsilon, \zeta) = 0$.

then Eq. (2) becomes,

$$\frac{\partial^{4}B}{\partial\zeta^{4}} - 2\frac{\partial^{4}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}\partial\varepsilon^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{4}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{4}} = B - \frac{\partial B}{\partial\zeta}, \qquad (6)$$
$$B(\varepsilon, 0) = \frac{\partial B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta} = \frac{\partial^{2}B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta^{2}} = \frac{\partial^{3}B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta^{3}} = e^{\varepsilon}.$$

This is a Cauchy problem with a fourth-order hyperbolic equation [13]. Using ET in Eq. (6) to obtain,

$$\frac{1}{\xi^4} E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] - \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial^k B(\varepsilon,0)}{\partial \zeta^k} \xi^{-2+k} - E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] = E\left[2\frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \zeta^2 \partial \varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \varepsilon^4} - \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta}\right], (1-\xi^4) E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] = (\xi^5 + \xi^4 + \xi^3 + \xi^2) e^{\varepsilon} + \xi^4 E\left[2\frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \zeta^2 \partial \varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \varepsilon^4} - \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta}\right], E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] = \frac{\xi^2}{1-\xi} e^{\varepsilon} + \frac{\xi^2}{1-\xi^4} E\left[2\frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \zeta^2 \partial \varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \varepsilon^4} - \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta}\right].$$

Inverse ET states that:

Ì

$$E^{-1}\left[E\left[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)\right]\right] = E^{-1}\left[\frac{\xi^2}{1-\xi}e^{\varepsilon}\right] + E^{-1}\left[\frac{\xi^4}{1-\xi^4}E\left[2\frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial\zeta^2\partial\varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial\varepsilon^4} - \frac{\partial B}{\partial\zeta}\right]\right]$$

The following diagram illustrates the iteration formula using a first approximation.

$$B_{n+1}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi^4}{1-\xi^4} E\left[2\frac{\partial^4 B_n}{\partial \zeta^2 \partial \varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^4 B_n}{\partial \varepsilon^4} - \frac{\partial B_n}{\partial \zeta} \right] \right],$$

$$B_0(\varepsilon,\zeta) = e^{\varepsilon+\zeta}.$$
 (7)

Eq. (7), gives:

$$B_{1}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi^{4}}{1-\xi^{4}} E\left[2\frac{\partial^{4}B_{0}}{\partial\zeta^{2}\partial\varepsilon^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{4}B_{0}}{\partial\varepsilon^{4}} - \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial\zeta} \right] \right] = E^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi^{4}}{1-\xi^{4}} E\left[2e^{\varepsilon+\zeta} - e^{\varepsilon+\zeta} - e^{\varepsilon+\zeta} \right] \right] = 0,$$

and $B_2(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0$, $B_3(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0$,....

Hence, the solution is $B(\varepsilon, \zeta) = e^{\varepsilon+\zeta}$. The ETM gives this exact solution after only one iteration. Fig. 1 illustrates the graphical representation of the numerical solution via ETM, which is identical to the exact solution and therefore confirms higher the effective-ness and the accuracy of this method. In this example, the relative error is zero because we found the exact solution using only one step.

Let,
$$k = 2$$
, $a = 1$, $NB = \left(\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta^2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \varepsilon^2}\right)^2 - 144B$ and $g(\varepsilon, \zeta) = 0$, then Eq. (2) becomes,

$$\frac{\partial^{4}B}{\partial\zeta^{4}} - 2\frac{\partial^{4}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}\partial\varepsilon^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{4}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{4}} = \left(\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2} - 144B$$
(8)
$$B(\varepsilon, 0) = -\varepsilon^{4}, \quad \frac{\partial^{i}B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta^{i}} = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 3.$$



Fig. 1. Graphical representation of the ETM solution to example 1 after only one iteration

Example 2.

It is the Cauchy problem of the fourth order hyperbolic equation [13]. Using ET from Eq. (8) the following results are obtained:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\xi^4} E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] &- \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial^k B(\varepsilon,0)}{\partial \zeta^k} \xi^{-2+k} \\ &= E\left[2\frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \zeta^2 \partial \varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \varepsilon^4} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta^2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \varepsilon^2}\right)^2 - 144B\right], \\ E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] &= -\varepsilon^4 \xi^2 \\ &+ \xi^4 E\left[2\frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \zeta^2 \partial \varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial \varepsilon^4} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta^2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \varepsilon^2}\right)^2 - 144B\right]. \end{split}$$

Following Example 1, the following recurring connection can be obtained by following the same procedure:

$$B_{n+1}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1} \left[\xi^4 E \left[2 \frac{\partial^4 B_n}{\partial \zeta^2 \partial \varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^4 B_n}{\partial \varepsilon^4} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 B_n}{\partial \zeta^2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial^2 B_n}{\partial \varepsilon^2} \right)^2 - 144 B_n \right] \right], \tag{9}$$

$$B_0(\varepsilon,\zeta) = -\varepsilon^4.$$

Later on, we are able to discover:

$$B_{1}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1}[\xi^{4}E[24]] = E^{-1}[24\xi^{6}] = \zeta^{4}, \quad B_{2}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1}[\xi^{4}E[144\zeta^{4} - 144\zeta^{4}]] = 0, \quad B_{3}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0, \text{ and}, \\ B_{4}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0, \cdots.$$

Thus: $B(\varepsilon, \zeta) = \zeta^4 - \varepsilon^4$. As the example 1, Fig. 2 shows the graphical representation of the exact solution obtained by ETM to this example, where the relative error is zero because we found the exact solution using only one step.



Fig. 2. Graphical representation of the exact solution to example 2 via ETM after only one step

Example 3.

Let,
$$k = 3$$
, $a = 1$, $NB = B \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta^2} - B \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \varepsilon^2}$ and $g(\varepsilon, \zeta) =$

0, then Eq. (2) becomes,

$$\frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{6}} - 3\frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{4}\partial\varepsilon^{2}} + 3\frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}\partial\varepsilon^{4}} - \frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{6}} = B\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}} - B\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{2}}, \qquad (10)$$

$$B(\varepsilon, 0) = \frac{\partial^2 B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial \zeta^2} = \frac{\partial^4 B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial \zeta^4}$$
$$= 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial \zeta} = \cos \varepsilon, \qquad \frac{\partial^3 B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial \zeta^3} = -\cos \varepsilon, \frac{\partial^5 B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial \zeta^5} = \cos \varepsilon,$$

This is the Cauchy problem for the hyperbolic equation of sixth order [13]. The expression for Eq. (10) is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{6}} + B = 3\frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{4}\partial\varepsilon^{2}} - 3\frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}\partial\varepsilon^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{6}} + B\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}} - B\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{2}} + B,$$

$$B(\varepsilon, 0) = \frac{\partial^{2}B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta^{2}} = \frac{\partial^{4}B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta^{4}} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta} = \cos\varepsilon, \quad \frac{\partial^{3}B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta^{3}} = -\cos\varepsilon, \quad \frac{\partial^{5}B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta^{5}} = \cos\varepsilon,$$

Using ET to get:

i

$$\frac{1}{\xi^{6}}E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] - \sum_{k=0}^{5} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial\zeta^{k}}B(\varepsilon,0)\xi^{-4+k} + E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)]$$

$$= E\left[3\left(\frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{4}\partial\varepsilon^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}\partial\varepsilon^{4}}\right) + \frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{6}} + B\left(\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{2}}\right) + B\right],$$

$$\frac{1+\xi^{6}}{\xi^{6}}E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] = (\xi^{7} - \xi^{5} + \xi^{3})\cos\varepsilon$$

$$+ E\left[3\left(\frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{4}\partial\varepsilon^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}\partial\varepsilon^{4}}\right) + \frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{6}} + B\left(\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{2}}\right) + B\right],$$

$$E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] = \frac{\xi^{3}}{1+\xi^{2}}\cos\varepsilon$$

$$+ \frac{\xi^{6}}{1+\xi^{6}}E\left[3\left(\frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{4}\partial\varepsilon^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}\partial\varepsilon^{4}}\right) + \frac{\partial^{6}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{6}} + B\left(\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{2}}\right) + B\right],$$



DOI 10.2478/ama-2025-0028

$$B(\varepsilon,\zeta) = \cos\varepsilon \ E^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi^3}{1+\xi^2} \right] + E^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi^6}{1+\xi^6} E\left[3\left(\frac{\partial^6 B}{\partial \zeta^4 \partial \varepsilon^2} + \frac{\partial^6 B}{\partial \zeta^2 \partial \varepsilon^4} \right) + \frac{\partial^6 B}{\partial \varepsilon^6} + B\left(\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta^2} - \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \varepsilon^2} \right) + B \right] \right].$$

Using the same method as in Example 1, one may find the recurrence relation in the following.

$$B_{n+1}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi^6}{1+\xi^6} E\left[3\left(\frac{\partial^6 B}{\partial \zeta^4 \partial \varepsilon^2} - \frac{\partial^6 B}{\partial \zeta^2 \partial \varepsilon^4} \right) + \frac{\partial^6 B}{\partial \varepsilon^6} + B\left(\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta^2} - \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \varepsilon^2} \right) + B \right] \right],$$

$$B_0(\varepsilon,\zeta) = \cos \varepsilon \ E^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi^3}{1+\xi^2} \right] = \cos \varepsilon \sin \zeta.$$

Next, we have $B_1(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0$, $B_2(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0$, $B_3(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0$,.... Then $B(\varepsilon,\zeta) = \cos \varepsilon \sin \zeta$, this is the exact solution of this example and, is also obtained using ETM depicted graphically in Fig. 3. In this example, the relative error is zero because we found the exact solution using only one step.



Fig. 3. Graphical representation of the exact solution to example 3 via ETM after only one iteration

4.2. Nonlinear Wave-Like Equations with Variable Coefficients

For explaining the growth of stochastic systems, one of the most widely used wave models is the wave-like equation. The stochastic behavior of exchange rates, fluctuations in laser light intensity, and the random movements of microscopic particles immersed in fluids are a few examples of such systems.

The situation in which $a = a(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ may be a constant or function of ε or / and ζ , will now be examined.

Example 4

Let, k = 1, $a = \varepsilon^2$, $NB = B - \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial \varepsilon}\right)^2$ and $g(\varepsilon, \zeta) = e^{2\zeta}$ then Eq. (2) becomes.

$$\frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\zeta^{2}} - \varepsilon^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}B}{\partial\varepsilon^{2}} = B - \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial\varepsilon}\right)^{2} + e^{2\zeta}, \qquad (11)$$
$$B(\varepsilon, 0) = \frac{\partial B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial\zeta} = \varepsilon.$$

It is the Cauchy problem related to nonlinear wave-like equation with variable coefficients [14, 26]. Using ET to get:

$$\frac{1}{\xi^2} E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] - \sum_{k=0}^1 \frac{\partial^k B(\varepsilon,0)}{\partial \zeta^k} \xi^k$$

$$\begin{split} &= E\left[\varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial \varepsilon^2} - \left(\frac{\partial B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial \varepsilon}\right)^2 + B(\varepsilon,\zeta) + e^{2\zeta}\right],\\ \frac{1-\xi^2}{\xi^2} E[B(\varepsilon,\zeta)] &= \varepsilon + \varepsilon\xi + E[e^{2\zeta}] + E\left[\varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial \varepsilon^2} - \left(\frac{\partial B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial \varepsilon}\right)^2\right],\\ B(\varepsilon,\zeta) &= E^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon(\xi^2+\xi^3)}{1-\xi^2} + \frac{\xi^4}{(1-\xi^2)(1-2\xi)}\right] \\ &\quad + E^{-1}\left[\frac{\xi^2}{1-\xi^2} E\left[\varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial \varepsilon^2} - \left(\frac{\partial B(\varepsilon,\zeta)}{\partial \varepsilon}\right)^2\right]\right]. \end{split}$$

Using the same method as in Example 1, one may find the recurrence relation in the following.

$$B_{n+1}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi^2}{1-\xi^2} E\left[\varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 B_n}{\partial \varepsilon^2} - \left(\frac{\partial B_n}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)^2 \right] \right],$$
$$B_0(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1} \left[\frac{\varepsilon(\xi^2+\xi^3)}{1-\xi^2} + \frac{\xi^4}{(1-\xi^2)(1-2\xi)} \right].$$

Next, we have:

$$B_0(\varepsilon,\zeta) = \varepsilon e^{\zeta} + \frac{e^{-\zeta} (e^{\zeta} - 1)^2 (2e^{\zeta} + 1)}{6},$$

$$B_1(\varepsilon,\zeta) = \frac{e^{\zeta}}{2} - \frac{e^{2\zeta}}{3} - \frac{e^{-\zeta}}{6},$$

$$B_2(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0, \quad B_3(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0, \quad B_4(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0 \cdots.$$

Then $B(\varepsilon, \zeta) = \varepsilon e^{\zeta}$. This is the exact solution to Eq. (11), however the HAA provided in [26] does not yield the exact solution. Fig. 4 shows the graphical representation of this solution, where the relative maximum error does not exceed 2×10^{-15} (see Tab.1). This result, achieved after just two iterations, highlights the efficiency of this method and its rapid convergence.



Fig. 4. Graphical representation of the exact solution to example 4 via ETM after only two iterations

Tab. 1. Relative errors concerning example 4

Point	Elzaki relative error
(0,0)	0
(-2, -2)	0
(2,2)	2.4040×10^{-16}
(0.5, 1.8333)	0



The Elzaki Transform Method for Addressing Cauchy Problems in Higher Order Nonlinear Pdes

(0.5, -1.8333)	1.7360×10^{-15}
(1.5, 1.5)	2.6424×10^{-16}
(-1.5, -1.5)	3.3171×10^{-16}
(1.1667, 0.6667)	1.8535×10^{-16}

Example 5.

Let, k = 1, $a = \zeta$, $NB = \frac{-2}{(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)^2}B^2$ and $g(\varepsilon, \zeta) = 0$, then Eq. (2) becomes,

$$\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \zeta^2} - \zeta \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \varepsilon^2} = \frac{-2}{(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)^2} B^2, \qquad (13)$$
$$B(\varepsilon, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial B(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial \zeta} = \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2.$$

This is the Cauchy problem for nonlinear wave-like equation with variable coefficients [26].

The method used in Example 1 can be adopted to find the recurrent relationship in the following.

$$B_{n+1}(\varepsilon,\zeta) = E^{-1} \left[\xi^2 E \left[\zeta \frac{\partial^2 B_n}{\partial \varepsilon^2} - \frac{2}{(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)^2} (B_n)^2 \right] \right],$$

$$B_0(\varepsilon,\zeta) = (\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \zeta.$$

Then:

$$B_1(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0, \ B_2(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0, \ B_3(\varepsilon,\zeta) = 0, \cdots$$

therefore $B(\varepsilon, \zeta) = (\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)\zeta$. Again, this is the exact solution to Eq.(13), while HAA in [26] will not yield the exact solution. Fig. 5 shows the graphical representation of this solution, where the relative error is zero because we found the exact solution using only one step.



Fig. 5. Graphical representation of the exact solution to example 5 via ETM after only one iteration

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This article has discussed the derivation, convergence, and application of the ET technique to higher-order nonlinear PDEs. Five numerical issues were analyzed: three nonlinear higher-order hyperbolic equations and two nonlinear wave-like equations with variable coefficient types. The ET method produces infinite power series solutions under suitable initial conditions, which nearly invariably spontaneously converge to the exact solution of the DEs. The obtained outcomes demonstrate the efficacy of the ET technique as mathematical tools for solving higher order nonlinear PDEs.

These problems can be easily solved using the ET approach, as the findings of the nonlinear wave-like equations show, but they cannot be solved exactly with HAA [26]. The ET approach has advantages over MDM, HPM, and HAA due to its efficiency, ease of use, little computational footprint, and proven lightning-fast convergence to an exact solution. Because of its efficiency and ease of use, we also want to extend its application to higher order fractional PDEs in subsequent work. Lastly, we believe that those who work in the modern technology and other areas will find this essay useful. Finally, we think this article will be helpful to people who operate in current technology and other fields. However, Elzaki transform and/or other transforms remain incapable of solving certain differential equations, especially when dealing with unsuitable initial conditions or strongly nonlinear problems.

REFERENCES

- Wu GC. Laplace transform Overcoming Principle Drawbacks in Application of the Variational Iteration Method to Fractional Heat Equations. Thermal Science. 2012;16(4):1257-1261. https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI1204257W
- Elzaki MT. Application of Projected Differential Transform Method on Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations with Proportional Delay in One Variable, World Applied Sciences Journal. 2014;30(3):345-349. 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2014.30.03.1841
- Elzaki MT, Biazar J. Homotopy Perturbation Method and Elzaki Transform for Solving System of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, World Applied Sciences Journal. 2013;24(4):944-948. 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.24.07.1041
- Elzaki MT, Elzaki MS, Elsayed A. Elnour. On the New Integral Transform "ELzaki Transform" Fundamental Properties Investigations and Applications, Global Journal of Mathematical Sciences: Theory and Practical. 2012;4(1):1-13. ISSN 0974-3200.
- Elzaki MT, Elzaki MS. On the Connections Between Laplace and Elzaki Transforms, Advances in Theoretical and Applied Mathematics. 2011;6(1):1-10. ISSN 0973-4554.
- Elzaki MT, Hilal EMA. Solution of Telegraph Equation by Modified of Double Sumudu Transform "Elzaki Transform". Mathematical Theory and Modeling. 2012;2(4):95-103. ISSN 2224-5804.
- Elzaki MT. Double Laplace Variational Iteration Method for Solution of Nonlinear Convolution Partial Differential Equations. Archives Des Sciences. 2012;65(12):588-593.
- Ahmed SA, Elzaki MT, Mohamed. A. Solving Partial Differential Equations of Fractional Order by Using a Novel Double Integral Transform. Mathematical Problems in Engineering. ID 9971083, 2023;12 pages. https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/9971083
- Elzaki MT, Chamekh M, Ahmed SA. Convergence and application of a modified double Laplace transform (MDLT) in some equations of mathematical physics. Advances in Differential Equations and Control Processes. 2023;30(2):151-168. http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/0974324323010
- Biazar J, Eslami M. A new technique for non-linear two-dimensional wave equations. Scientia Iranica. Transactions B: Mechanical Engineering. 2013;20(2):359–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scient.2013.02.012
- Elzaki MT, Ishag AA. Modified Laplace Transform and Ordinary Differential Equations with Variable Coefficients. World Engineering & Applied Sciences Journal. 2019;10(3):79-84. 10.5829/idosi.weasj.2019.79.84
- He JH. A coupling method of homotopy technique and perturbation technique for nonlinear problems. International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics. 2000;35(1):37–43.

sciendo

DOI 10.2478/ama-2025-0028

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7462(98)00085-7

- 13. Mohamed M, Amjad H, Elzaki MT, Mohamed A, Shiraz. E. Solution of Fractional Heat-Like and Fractional Wave-Like Equation by Using Modern Strategy. Acta Mechanica et Automatica. 2023;17(3):372-380. https://doi.org/10.2478/ama-2023-0042
- 14. Elzaki MT, Chamekh M, Ahmed SA. Modified integral transform for solving benney-luke and singular pseudo-hyperbolic equations. Acta Mechanica et Automatica. 2024;18(1). https://doi.org/10.2478/ama-2024-0018
- 15. Chamekh M, Latrach MA, Elzaki MT. Approximate analytical solutions for some obstacle problems. Journal of King Saud University - Science. 2021;33(2).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2020.101259

- 16. Chamekh M, Latrach MA, Abed Y. Multi-step Adomian decomposition method for solving a delayed Chikungunya virus system. Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science. 2025;37(4):361-372. https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.037.04.01
- 17. Chamekh M, Latrach MA, Jday F. Multi-step semi-analytical solutions for a chikungunya virus system. J.Umm Al-Qura Univ. Appll. Sci. 2023;9:123-131.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s43994-023-00027-8

18. Abdulazeez TS, Modanli M, Muhamad Husien A. Numerical scheme methods for solving nonlinear pseudo hyperbolic partial differential equations. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics. 2022;21(4):5-15.

https://doi.org/10.17512/jamcm.2022.4.01

19. Abdulazeez TS, Modanli M, Husien MA. Solutions to nonlinear pseudo hyperbolic partial differential equations with nonlocal conditions by using residual power series method. Sigma J. Eng. Nat. Sci. 2023;41(3):488-492.

https://doi.org/10.14744/sigma.2023.00055

- 20. Abdulazeez TS, Modanli M. Solutions of fractional order pseudo-hyperbolic telegraph partial differential equations using finite difference method. Alexandria Engineering Journal. 2022;61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2022.06.027
- 21. Modanli M, Karadag K, Abdulazeez TS. Solutions of the mobile-immobile advection-dispersion model based on the fractional operators using the Crank-Nicholson difference scheme. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2023;167. ISSN0960-0779. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2023.113114
- 22. Abdulla SO, Abdulazeez TS, Modanli M. Comparison of third-order fractional partial differential equation based on the fractional operators using the explicit finite difference method. Alexandria Engineering Journal. 2023;70: 37-44. ISSN 1110-0168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2023.02.032
- 23. Ahsana M, Hussainb I, Ahmad M. A finite-difference and Haar wavelets hybrid collocation technique for non-linear inverse Cauchy problems. Applied Mathematics in Science and Engineering. 2022;30(1):121-140.

https://doi.org/10.1080/17415977.2022.2026350

- 24. Tenekeci ME, Abdulazeez ST, Karadağ K, Modanli M. Edge detection using the Prewitt operator with fractional order telegraph partial differential equations (PreFOTPDE). Multimed Tools Appl. 2024.
- 25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-024-19440-0
- 26. Modanli M, Sadiq Murad MA, Abdulazeez ST. A new computational method-based integral transform for solving time-fractional equation arises in electromagnetic waves. Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik. 2023;74(5).

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-023-02076-9

- 27. Bougoffa L. The Cauchy problem for nonlinear hyperbolic equations of higher order using modified decomposition method. Journal of Mathematical Control Science and Applications (JMCSA). 2017;1(1):1-9.
- 28. Aslanov A. Homotopy perturbation method for solving wave-like nonlinear equations with initial-boundary conditions. Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society. 2011; 10 pages. ID 534165. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/534165
- 29. Aslanov A. A homotopy-analysis approach for nonlinear wave-like equations with variable coefficients. In Abstract and Applied Analysis. Hindawi; 2015.

http://dx.doi.ora/10.1155/2015/628310

- 30. Elzaki MT, Chamekh M, Ahmed SA. Modified Integral Transform for Solving Benney-Luke and Singular Pseudo-Hyperbolic Equations. Acta Mechanica et Automatica. 2024:18(1). http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/ama-2024-0018
- 31. Ahmed SA, Saade R, Qazza A, Elzaki MT. Applying Conformable Double Sumudu - Elzaki Approach to Solve Nonlinear Fractional Problems. Progr. Fract. Differ. Appl. 2024;10(2);271-286. http://dx.doi.org/10.18576/pfda/100208
- 32. Elzaki MT, Mohamed MZ. A novel analytical method for the exact solution of the fractional-order biological population model. Acta Mechanica et Automatica. 2024;18(3);564-570. http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/ama-2024-0059
- 33. Chamekh M, Latrach MA, Elzaki MT. Novel integral transform treating some W-fractional derivative equations. Acta Mechanica et Automatica. 2024;18(3).

https://doi.org/10.2478/ama-2024-0060

34. Elzaki MT, Ahmed SA. Novel approach for solving fractional partial differential equations using conformable Elzaki Transform. J.Umm Al-Qura Univ. Appll. Sci. 2024. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43994-024-00188-0

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deputyship for Research & Innovation, Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through the project number MoE-IF-UJ-R2-22-04220133-1.

Tarig M. Elzaki: 🛄 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6946-9267

Mohamed Ali Latrach: D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9274-1014



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.